



IN THE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS OF TEXAS

**NOS. WR-63,081-03, WR-63,081-04,
WR-63,081-05, WR-63,081-06 and WR-63,081-07**

EX PARTE ROBERT LESLIE ROBERSON, III, Applicant

**ON APPLICATION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS
IN CAUSE NOS. 26,162-A, 26,162-B, 26,162-C, 26,162-D and 26,162-E
IN THE 3RD JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
ANDERSON COUNTY**

***Per curiam.* RICHARDSON, J., filed a concurring opinion. SCHENCK, P.J., filed a concurring and dissenting opinion. YEARY, J., filed a concurring and dissenting opinion in which PARKER, J., joined. FINLEY, J., filed a concurring and dissenting opinion. PARKER, J., filed concurring and dissenting opinion. KEEL, J., filed a dissenting opinion in which PARKER, J., joined. MCCLURE, J., dissented.**

ORDER

We have before us two subsequent applications for a writ of habeas corpus filed pursuant to the provisions of Texas Code of Criminal Procedure Article 11.071, Section 5 (our -06 and -07), a Suggestion to Reconsider various writ applications on the Court's own initiative (the -03, -04, and -05), and an Emergency Motion for Stay of Execution. *See* TEX. CODE CRIM. PROC. art. 11.071, § 5; TEX. R. APP. P. 79.2(d).

We have thoroughly reviewed Applicant’s fifth and sixth subsequent applications—including the claims raised and the evidence submitted (and in some instances resubmitted)—and the entire record, and we find that Applicant has failed to show that he satisfies the requirements of Article 11.071, Section 5. *See* TEX. CODE CRIM. PROC. art. 11.071, § 5(a). Accordingly, we dismiss the -06 and -07 applications as abuses of the writ. *See id.* art. 11.071, § 5(c).

We decline to reconsider on the Court’s own initiative the denial of Applicant’s -03 application and the dismissal of Applicant’s -04 application.

On the Court’s own initiative, we reopen the -05 habeas application and, after finding that Applicant’s sole claim—that “*Ex parte Roark*[] Establishes That [Applicant] Is Entitled To Relief [U]nder Article 11.073”—satisfies the requirements of Article 11.071, Section 5, we remand the claim to the trial court for resolution. *See id.* art. 11.071, § 5(a). This remand is limited to consideration of Applicant’s Article 11.073 claim in light of *Roark*. *See Ex parte Roark*, 707 S.W.3d 157 (Tex. Crim. App. 2024). The trial court shall not entertain nor address any other claims. Applicant’s Emergency Motion for Stay of Execution is granted pending resolution of the claim.

IT IS SO ORDERED THIS THE 9th DAY OF OCTOBER, 2025.

Do Not Publish