A Call to Action: Applied Research Questions

We asked our colleagues across the Innocence Project, what specific questions do you want researchers to address? What answers would be most useful in your daily work? And what recommendations do you have for researchers? Here's what they said.

Investigating the following questions would substantially improve our ability to do our legal, policy, social work, and more. At the Innocence Project we are guided by science and research. We look to social science research to inform our advocacy and depend on external experts to continue to explore the causes and consequences of wrongful conviction in a methodologically rigorous way. Thank you for being essential partners in this work.

If you have done research on or are interested in developing a project to address any of the questions below, please reach out to science@innocenceproject.org and please keep these recommendations from the field in mind.

Recommendations

● Center wrongfully convicted people.
● Utilize a trauma-informed lens.
● Create a diverse research team, especially when gathering qualitative data.
● Integrate those with lived experience into the research process and the development of any survey or interview questions.
● Be mindful of intersectionality and how that may inform questions and answers.
● Consider aftercare to connect participants with support services from trained professionals (e.g., experts in complex PTSD).
● Consult policy experts before launching a study and again before publishing results/making policy recommendations.
● Present findings in terminology that is accessible to lay audiences, including policy makers and directly-impacted people.
● To the extent that a very deep relationship between training and reform cannot be demonstrated, training as a policy solution should not be offered (policymakers often prefer to fund training rather than make foundational changes to the criminal legal system).

Race, Ethnicity, Identity-Related

● How do wrongful convictions impact Asian American and Native American communities, specifically? Ensure that analysis of the impact on Asian American, Native American and other BIPOC communities are included in all studies.
● What racial differences exist with regard to the application of the trial penalty?
● How does stereotype threat play out in the interrogation room for different populations and with different interview/interrogation approaches?
- How do police officers perceive Black teenagers, in particular (and young people of color in general) in the interrogation room? Do they approach Miranda/interrogation questions differently for young people based on racial identity?
- Can Dror et al.'s forensic pathology study be replicated with race and relationship varied independently?
- Are jurors more likely to credit/discredit expert witnesses or eyewitnesses based on race (of the accused, of the expert, of the eyewitness)? Are jury decisions/trial outcomes different?

**Public Education**
- How has the Innocence Project's work on wrongful convictions shifted general perceptions of our criminal legal system over the years? Can this be quantified?
- What is the most effective way to explain complex scientific principles to the everyday person (e.g., emerging technologies)?
- What language do wrongfully convicted people want to use to describe themselves and their experiences; what language appropriately centers wrongfully convicted people?
- To what extent have perceptions of the validity of Shaken Baby Syndrome (SBS) and Abusive Head Trauma (AHT) diagnoses changed over time and what are the "upstream" effects (e.g., how many parents/caregivers suspected of SBS/AHT are acquitted at trial or not prosecuted at all now as compared to 10, 20, or 30 years ago because of a better understanding of the bad science associated with diagnosing SBS)?

**Juries**
- What impact do sustained objections have on jurors’ impression of an attorney and their subsequent impression of the case?
- What impact do instructions to disregard evidence have on a jury?
- What are the demographics of people who are most likely to be jurors, how does this differ from or reflect the community, and how does this impact jury decision-making?
- How do juries evaluate competing experts (e.g., a situation where the defense puts on a competing expert with the state and there are disagreements about what science says), are there factors that might lead them to credit/not credit defense experts over the state's experts?

**Exoneration**
- What is the scope of the problem of wrongful convictions outside of the U.S.? What are the specific challenges and barriers to exoneration in other parts of the world?
- A literature review or meta-analysis of the existing research on life after wrongful conviction would be useful. What studies have already been done and where are the gaps?
- Can we learn anything about cases brought to a Conviction Integrity Unit (CIU) where the CIU elects not to take action or does not agree that the person is entitled to relief (e.g., is this only happening in cases with investigation/testing results that the CIU concludes are inculpatory, are they declining to take action in many cases where they conclude there's nothing new, etc.); are there any datasets available?
- How frequently are wrongful convictions the result of multiple factors? What is the average number of factors?
Eyewitness Identification
- Is there a consensus on any and all things that are "new" in eyewitness ID research (i.e., bases for getting back into court)?
- What is the current status of the research on simultaneous and sequential lineup presentations (since the 2014 National Academy of Sciences publication on eyewitness identification)?

Forensic Science
- We need more guidance on what is and is not task relevant information in pathology determinations.
- What types of physicians opine on death investigations at trial (e.g., cardiologists, pulmonologists, child abuse pediatricians, etc.)?
- What is the frequency of non-physician coroners making death determinations compared to physicians and/or board certified forensic pathologists?
- We could use a process map of how a case travels through different types of coroner and medical examiner offices to illustrate problematic points.
- More surveys or observational studies rather than lab experiments for gathering reliable information on physical injuries and factors that affect their development/manifestation would be incredibly helpful.
- How do jurors weigh DNA evidence compared to unreliable and insufficiently validated science (e.g., bite mark evidence, fiber evidence, etc.)?
- What weight do jurors put on non-DNA scientific evidence?
- How do human factors affect feature-comparison (fingerprint, toolmark, footwear, etc.) determinations? Which factors are the most detrimental and how can they be mitigated?
- We need work assessing the homogeneity of a specific forensic method and determining how method variations affect final outcomes.
- How do inconclusive decisions in feature-comparison methods impact forensic science decision making, investigations, and fact finders?

Deception Detection, Interrogations, Confessions
- What is the latest research on trauma (e.g., using MRIs to measure brain changes) and interrogations and confessions?
- Do trauma-informed interrogation techniques protect against false confessions?
- Tests of Reid Technique-like methods of deception detection (e.g., validity and reliability of detecting whether someone is lying based on the direction they look, posture, speech patterns) would be useful.
- Are there recent (post-2007) studies regarding the impact of ADHD, anxiety, and depression (or other specific diagnoses) on susceptibility to false confession?
- What is the psychological or cognitive impact of short-term isolation and detention (and/or an arrest itself) – distinct from the psychological impact of prolonged isolation, like solitary confinement – on suggestibility/risk of false confession?
- How does acute, immediate trauma impact suggestibility during interrogation?
• How do older teenagers (16-18) and emerging adults (18-21), as well as 21-25 year olds compare, in terms of suggestibility and susceptibility to police coercion? Experimental research in interrogations specifically could support existing neurological development wisdom.
• What is the impact of “Investigative Interviewing” techniques on vulnerable populations? Is the diagnosticity of HIG methods (rapport, strategic use of evidence tactics) impacted when the method is used with children/adolescents or those with mental health or cognitive issues?
• Is there a difference between rapport building and minimization tactics? How can this be measured?
• What is the prevalence of known false confessions in countries (or other jurisdictions) that use HIG/PEACE methods, or any other approaches developed for interrogations?
• How many police departments across the US are still using The Reid Technique? (The consulting agency, Wicklander-Zulawski & Associates, which works with police departments around the nation, has vowed to stop training police on the Reid method. With which departments are they working? With what did they replace Reid? What has happened with the rate of confessions in those jurisdictions since abandoning Reid?)
• What is the role and efficacy of interpreters in interrogation settings?

Informants
• Real world data on informants would be incredibly useful (e.g., age of informant, age of the informed-upon person, in what settings did these conversations supposedly take place, the amount of time these people spent with each other, and did they know each other prior to incarceration).
• How often are the same people used as jailhouse informants in multiple cases, what’s the average and range of number of cases, do law enforcement offices have policies or guidelines around using a jailhouse informant multiple times?

Guilty Pleas
• Can we determine the extent to which extreme sentences incentivize false guilty pleas from the innocent?
• How common are false guilty pleas in misdemeanor cases?
• Is there a “sweet spot” for a plea offer that doesn’t coerce pleas from the actually innocent?
• A monograph with real world case studies speaking to the coerced plea phenomenon would be amazing.

Ineffective Assistance of Defense Counsel and Official Misconduct
• How common are Brady violations?
• In what percent of ineffective assistance of counsel cases does the trial attorney acknowledge their error versus contest their ineffectiveness?